

## Work Group: Applications Subcommittee

<b>Date</b>	9/6/2006	<b>Time</b>	1:30 – 3:00 P.M.
<b>Facilitator</b>	Miko Pattie	<b>Scribe</b>	Miko Pattie
<b>Location</b>	#324 - Plaza Tower	<b>Call-In #</b>	

### Agency / Attendees / Role

<b>AIKCU</b>	Steve Dooley	Subcommittee Member
<b>COT</b>	Vibhas Chandrachood	Subcommittee Member
<b>CPE</b>	Miko Pattie	Subcommittee Chair
	Charles McGrew	Subcommittee Member
	Myk Garn	Subcommittee Member
<b>EPSB</b>	Valerie Armstrong	Subcommittee Member
<b>KCDHH</b>	John Barnes for Virginia Moore	Subcommittee Member
<b>KDE</b>	Elaine Harris for Charlotte Chowning	Subcommittee Member
<b>KET</b>	Mike Clark	Subcommittee Member
<b>JCPS</b>	Bo Lowrey	Subcommittee Member
<b>UK</b>	Rex Stidham	Subcommittee Member
	Roger Sugarman	Subcommittee Member
<b>WorkForce</b>	Joe Morgan	Subcommittee Member
	Liz Stafford	Subcommittee Member
	Mac Stivers	Subcommittee Member
	Chris Cross	Subcommittee Member

### Agenda/Decisions

<b>Item:</b>	<b>Introductions of New Members</b>	<b>Decision(s)</b>
<b>1</b>	New members include Roger Sugarman, Institutional Research at UK, and Steve Dooley from AIKCU, the independent colleges & universities. Other representatives from KCTCS, KSU, and UofL will join us at next meeting.	
<b>Item:</b>	<b>KEN Overview - Members</b>	<b>Decision(s)</b>
<b>2</b>	Roger asked what KEN is and how KEN came about. The group used the info Al gave us at the first meeting to illustrate KEN for new members – To build an education-centric network that improves teaching & learning	

	<p>across the education community, and to ensure that Shared Infrastructure, Seamless P16 Data Warehouse, and Integrated Learning System are progressing even though only the Infrastructure item was funded – \$30M for 2 years and \$8.5M for bonded funding. The group discussed if the connection is virtual or real – consensus is both, and the goal for KEN applications is to level the playing field for all so that student achievement can be realized. The IT Coordination Committee has assigned us to define our charge as the first task. We have to know if and when we are successful. Even though there are other groups working in the same space, this is the only group that has the association with statutory responsibilities as we are to report to the Interim Joint Committee on Appropriations &amp; Revenue.</p>	
--	---	--

em:	Subcommittee Charge	Decision(s)
<b>3</b>	<p>1. What are value-added or cost-effective? Roger explained that these 2 are not equal.</p> <p>2. Still need guidelines to determine what applications we want to include here.</p> <p>3. Are measurements to be used to be checked off? Training in use and its integration in teaching and learning also need to be measured.</p> <p>4. The ultimate goal is student achievement and education attainment. This will require longitudinal studies.</p> <p>5. Should we address both process and outcome? Communication strategy will be needed.</p> <p>6. We will need to work with the Network Subcommittee in addressing network performance and threshold issues.</p> <p>7. We need to identify, assess, and ensure that these apps have impact on lifelong learning.</p> <p>8. Liz proposed the following charge (read it at the meeting and sent it via our listserv at <a href="mailto:ken-applications@listserv.ky.gov">ken-applications@listserv.ky.gov</a>):</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- To identify uses for existing and proposed information technologies, and apply them to all learning opportunities within the Commonwealth;</li> <li>- To assess the need or desire for such applications in learning institutions, along with their supporting administrative systems;</li> <li>- To recommend changes to infrastructure, policies or work processes that will facilitate the successful implementation of these applications;</li> <li>- To review the effectiveness of implemented changes in terms of student success, educational achievement and lifelong learning.</li> </ul>	To be discussed at our listserv and finalized at our next meeting.

Item:	Handouts	Decision(s)
<b>4</b>	<p>1. Meeting agenda</p> <p>2. Members by organization</p> <p>3. Applications matrix</p> <p>4. Minutes for 8/23/2006 meeting</p>	

## Other Discussions

### New/Other Business – Discussions and Decisions:

--

## Next Steps

### Action Items Assigned in this Meeting:

--

Next Meeting	Agenda Items Suggested and/or Moved Forward
9/20/06	<b>Items:</b> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Subcommittee Charge</li> <li>2. Guiding Principles for Applications To Be Included</li> <li>3. Application Matrix</li> </ol>

**KPID = Key Point(s) Influencing Decision** (*e.g. timing, funding, access, security issues, MoU or other signatory approval needed, etc.*) will be noted as and if they apply to individual decisions.